MARION TWO SCHOOL DISTRICT P.O. Box 689 Mullins, South Carolina 29574 PK-12 GRADES 2.078 Students ENROLLMENT R. Jerry Leviner, Ph.D. 843-464-3700 SUPERINTENDENT BOARD CHAIR Samuel McMillan 843-464-3700 FISCAL AUTHORITY District Board/County Board/Referendum THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2004 ANNUAL DISTRICT REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Districts with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 0 8 1 7 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: BELOW AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This district met 17 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

| | Absolute Rating | Improvement Rating | Adequate Yearly Progress |
|------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 2001 | Average | Average | N/A |
| 2002 | Below Average | Below Average | N/A |
| 2003 | Average | Average | No |
| 2004 | Average | Below Average | No |

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTNG IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

79.8%

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

Our District

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

Districts with Students like Ours

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local

board policy determines progress to the next grade level **NOTE**: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

| | | Our District | t | Districts wi | th Students | like Ours |
|----------------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| Percent | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| Passed both subtests | 70.9 | N/A | N/A | 62.7 | N/A | N/A |
| Passed 1 subtest | 12.7 | N/A | N/A | 18.1 | N/A | N/A |
| Passed no subtests | 16.4 | N/A | N/A | 19.3 | N/A | N/A |

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP*

| Percent of | Our District | Districts with Students like Ours |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions* | 2.2 | 4.1 |
| Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement | 2.2 | 4.1 |
| Seniors who met the grade point average | 39.4 | 36.2 |
| *Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements | | |

| PACT PERFORMANCE I | BY GRO | ШP | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| • | | T | % Below Bacic | ų Γ | Τ. | Τ, | % Proficient and Advanced |
| | Enrollment 1st | % Tested | , / 🖁 | % Basic | % Proficient | % Advanced | % Proficient ar |
| | \#\£ | | / ð | å | ğ | \{\partial \text{s} | |
| | 16.5 | / % | / % | / % | / % | % | 18 8 |
| | 170 | / | / ~ | / | / | / | / ** / |
| | , En | glish/Lan | | | | | |
| All Students | 985 | 98.5 | 46.8 | 39.7 | 13.0 | 0.5 | 13.5 |
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| Male | 532 | 97.6 | 53.0 | 35.7 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 11.4 |
| Female | 453 | 99.6 | 39.6 | 44.4 | 14.8 | 1.2 | 16.0 |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | |
| White | 238 | 98.3 | 36.9 | 41.8 | 19.6 | 1.8 | 21.3 |
| African-American | 734 | 98.5 | 50.5 | 39.0 | 10.3 | 0.1 | 10.5 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic | 10 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 1 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Disability Status | , | | | | | | |
| Not Disabled | 758 | 98.8 | 40.6 | 42.9 | 15.8 | 0.7 | 16.5 |
| Disabled | 227 | 97.4 | 67.9 | 28.8 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Migrant | 985 | 98.5 | 46.8 | 39.7 | 13.0 | 0.5 | 13.5 |
| English Proficiency | | | | | | | |
| Limited English Proficient | 1 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 984 | 98.5 | 46.7 | 39.8 | 13.0 | 0.5 | 13.5 |
| Socio-Economic Status | 704 | 00.4 | 40.0 | 40.0 | | 0.4 | 40.0 |
| Subsidized meals | 794 | 99.1 | 49.9 | 40.0 | 9.9 | 0.1 | 10.0 |
| Full-pay meals | 188 | 95.7 | 33.3 | 38.4 | 26.0 | 2.3 | 28.2 |
| | | | Mathema | | | | |
| All Students | 985 | 98.7 | 46.0 | 40.2 | 9.0 | 4.8 | 13.8 |
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| Male | 532 | 97.9 | 46.8 | 39.1 | 9.9 | 4.2 | 14.1 |
| Female | 453 | 99.6 | 45.1 | 41.4 | 7.9 | 5.6 | 13.4 |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | , | | | | | | |
| White | 238 | 98.7 | 34.5 | 42.0 | 14.6 | 8.8 | 23.5 |
| African-American | 734 | 98.6 | 50.1 | 39.3 | 7.2 | 3.4 | 10.6 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic | 10 | 100.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 1 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Disability Status | | | | | | | |
| Not Disabled | 758 | 99.1 | 41.0 | 42.5 | 10.4 | 6.1 | 16.4 |
| Disabled | 227 | 97.4 | 63.2 | 32.1 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 4.7 |
| Migrant Status | 1 | | | | | | N |
| Migrant | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Migrant | 985 | 98.7 | 46.0 | 40.2 | 9.0 | 4.8 | 13.8 |
| English Proficiency | | L | L | Luc | Luc | L/C | 1/0 |
| Limited English Proficient | 1 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 984 | 98.7 | 46.0 | 40.2 | 9.0 | 4.8 | 13.8 |
| Socio-Economic Status | 701 | 00.0 | 40.5 | 40.6 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 |
| Subsidized meals | 794 | 99.2 | 48.5 | 40.4 | 7.8 | 3.3 | 11.1 |
| Full-pay meals | 188 | 96.3 | 35.4 | 39.3 | 14.0 | 11.2 | 25.3 |

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

| PACT PERFO | | BY GR | | VEL | -,- | -, | ٠, |
|------------|----------------------------------|----------|---------------|----------|--------------|------------|------------------------------|
| | Enrollment 1st Day of Testing | % Tested | % Below Basic | % Basic | % Proficient | % Advanced | % Proficient and Advanced |
| | | | sh/Langua | age Arts | | | |
| Grade 3 | 154 | 98.7 | 33.6 | 46.2 | 19.6 | 0.7 | 20.3 |
| Grade 4 | 156 | 98.1 | 45.7 | 40.4 | 13.2 | 0.7 | 13.9 |
| Grade 5 | 159 | 99.4 | 40.7 | 50.0 | 8.0 | 1.3 | 9.3 |
| Grade 6 | 187 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 46.8 | 17.0 | 2.9 | 19.9 |
| Grade 7 | 176 | 99.4 | 53.0 | 34.5 | 11.3 | 1.2 | 12.5 |
| Grade 8 | 175 | 99.4 | 50.0 | 44.0 | 6.0 | N/A | 6.0 |
| Grade 3 | 170 | 99.4 | 42.6 | 34.3 | 21.9 | 1.2 | 23.1 |
| Grade 4 | 154 | 100.0 | 52.6 | 37.0 | 10.4 | N/A | 10.4 |
| Grade 5 | 143 | 100.0 | 41.3 | 44.8 | 14.0 | N/A | 14.0 |
| Grade 6 | 162 | 96.9 | 53.2 | 35.9 | 10.3 | 0.6 | 10.9 |
| Grade 7 | 192 | 98.4 | 46.0 | 41.8 | 11.6 | 0.5 | 12.2 |
| Grade 8 | 164 | 96.3 | 48.1 | 43.7 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 8.2 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | Mathemat | ics | | | |
| Grade 3 | 154 | 99.4 | 36.8 | 50.0 | 10.4 | 2.8 | 13.2 |
| Grade 4 | 156 | 100.0 | 32.5 | 55.2 | 8.4 | 3.9 | 12.3 |
| Grado 5 | 150 | 100.0 | 3/1/ | 10.3 | 0.3 | 70 | 17.2 |

| | Mathematics | | | | | | |
|---------|-------------|-------|------|------|------|-----|------|
| Grade 3 | 154 | 99.4 | 36.8 | 50.0 | 10.4 | 2.8 | 13.2 |
| Grade 4 | 156 | 100.0 | 32.5 | 55.2 | 8.4 | 3.9 | 12.3 |
| Grade 5 | 159 | 100.0 | 34.4 | 48.3 | 9.3 | 7.9 | 17.2 |
| Grade 6 | 187 | 100.0 | 34.5 | 44.4 | 16.4 | 4.7 | 21.1 |
| Grade 7 | 176 | 100.0 | 61.9 | 28.6 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 9.5 |
| Grade 8 | 175 | 100.0 | 45.8 | 49.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.8 |
| Grade 3 | 170 | 100.0 | 43.5 | 47.6 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 8.8 |
| Grade 4 | 154 | 100.0 | 40.3 | 48.1 | 9.1 | 2.6 | 11.7 |
| Grade 5 | 143 | 100.0 | 44.1 | 36.4 | 11.2 | 8.4 | 19.6 |
| Grade 6 | 162 | 96.9 | 41.7 | 36.5 | 14.1 | 7.7 | 21.8 |
| Grade 7 | 192 | 99.0 | 50.5 | 36.3 | 9.5 | 3.7 | 13.2 |
| Grade 8 | 164 | 96.3 | 59.5 | 33.5 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 7.0 |

SCHOOLS IN "SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS"

These schools will be reported in a separate document.

| HSAP PERFORMANCE E | Y GRO | DUP | Η, | Τ, | | Τ, | Ι, |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------------|
| | Enrollment 1st Day of Total | sted | % Below Basis | % Basic | % Proficient | % Advanced | % Proficient and |
| | Enrollm Day of T | % Tested | % Belov | \ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \ | % Pro | % Adv. | % Proficient an |
| | | / Englis | | / age Arts | / | | |
| All Students | 139 | 95.7 | 19.7 | 31.5 | 37.0 | 11.8 | 48.8 |
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| Male | 60 | 95.0 | 24.1 | 42.6 | 24.1 | 9.3 | 33.3 |
| Female | 79 | 96.2 | 16.4 | 23.3 | 46.6 | 13.7 | 60.3 |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | |
| White | 34 | 97.1 | 3.4 | 24.1 | 44.8 | 27.6 | 72.4 |
| African-American | 105 | 95.2 | 24.5 | 33.7 | 34.7 | 7.1 | 41.8 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Disability Status | , | | | | | | |
| Not Disabled | 113 | 96.5 | 6.8 | 34.0 | 44.7 | 14.6 | 59.2 |
| Disabled | 26 | 92.3 | 75.0 | 20.8 | 4.2 | N/A | 4.2 |
| Migrant Status | | | . 5.0 | | | . 4// 1 | |
| Migrant | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Migrant | 139 | 95.7 | 19.7 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 11.8 | 48.8 |
| English Proficiency | 100 | 33.7 | 15.7 | 01.0 | 01.0 | 11.0 | +0.0 |
| imited English Proficient | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 139 | 95.7 | 19.7 | 31.5 | 37.0 | 11.8 | 48.8 |
| Socio-Economic Status | 100 | 33.7 | 15.7 | 01.0 | 37.0 | 11.0 | +0.0 |
| Subsidized meals | 106 | 95.3 | 21.6 | 32.0 | 38.1 | 8.2 | 46.4 |
| Full-pay meals | 33 | 97.0 | 13.3 | 30.0 | 33.3 | 23.3 | 56.7 |
| uli-pay meais | 55 | 07.0 | 10.0 | 00.0 | 00.0 | 20.0 | 1 00.7 |
| | | Λ | /lathema | tics | | | |
| All Students | 139 | 95.7 | 23.6 | 34.6 | 31.5 | 10.2 | 41.7 |
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| Male | 60 | 93.3 | 32.1 | 35.8 | 22.6 | 9.4 | 32.1 |
| Female | 79 | 97.5 | 17.6 | 33.8 | 37.8 | 10.8 | 48.6 |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | |
| White | 34 | 97.1 | 6.9 | 24.1 | 44.8 | 24.1 | 69.0 |
| African-American | 105 | 95.2 | 28.6 | 37.8 | 27.6 | 6.1 | 33.7 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Disability Status | | | | | | | |
| Not Disabled | 113 | 96.5 | 11.7 | 36.9 | 38.8 | 12.6 | 51.5 |
| Disabled | 26 | 92.3 | 75.0 | 25.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Migrant | 139 | 95.7 | 23.6 | 34.6 | 31.5 | 10.2 | 41.7 |
| English Proficiency | | | | | | | |
| Limited English Proficient | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 139 | 95.7 | 23.6 | 34.6 | 31.5 | 10.2 | 41.7 |
| Socio-Economic Status | | | | | | | |
| Subsidized meals | 106 | 96.2 | 25.5 | 39.8 | 28.6 | 6.1 | 34.7 |
| Full-pay meals | 33 | 93.9 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 41.4 | 24.1 | 65.5 |
| uii-pay meais | 1 33 | 1 30.3 | 111.2 | 111.2 | 1 41.4 | 24.1 | 1 05.5 |

| PERFORMANCE BY STU | DENT G | ROUPS | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| | | m Passage Spring 2004 | | y for LIFE rships* | G | Graduation Rate | | |
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | Met State Objective | |
| All students | 121 | 95.0% | 137 | 2.2% | 146 | 74.0% | N/A | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 45 | 93.3% | 53 | 1.9% | 55 | 65.5% | | |
| Female | 76 | 96.1% | 84 | 2.4% | 91 | 79.1% | | |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | |
| White | 33 | 100.0% | 31 | 6.5% | 36 | 77.8% | | |
| African American | 88 | 93.2% | 105 | 1.0% | 108 | 72.2% | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | 1 | I/S | N/A | N/A | | |
| Hispanic | N/A | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| Disability Status | | | | | | | | |
| Not disabled | 108 | 96.3% | 123 | 2.4% | 127 | 82.7% | | |
| Disabilities other than speech | 13 | 84.6% | 14 | 0.0% | 19 | 15.8% | | |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | N/A | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | | |
| Non-migrant | 120 | 95.0% | 137 | 2.2% | 131 | 77.1% | | |
| English Proficiency | | | | | | | | |
| Limited English proficient | N/A | N/A | 1 | I/S | 1 | I/S | | |
| Non-LEP | 119 | 95.0% | 136 | 2.2% | 144 | 73.6% | | |
| Socio-Economic Status | | | | | | | | |
| Subsidized meals | 74 | 93.2% | 82 | 1.2% | 90 | 72.2% | | |
| Full-pay meals | 46 | 97.8% | 55 | 3.6% | 56 | 76.8% | | |
| * Using only the SAT and grade point av | erane require | ements | | | | | | |

^{*} Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

| • | 0 | Districts with Otselents I'll Osses |
|----------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| GRADUATION RATE | | |
| Percent | 95.0% | 89.9% |
| | Our District | Districts with Students like Ours |
| EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY | SPRING 2004 | |

Our District Districts with Students like Ours Number of Students 146 191 Number of Diplomas 108 141 Rate 74.0% 75.1%

2003-04 College Admissions Tests

| SAT | Vei | Verbal | | ath | To | Total | | |
|----------|------|--------|------|------|------|-------|--|--|
| | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | | |
| District | 467 | 430 | 482 | 440 | 949 | 870 | | |
| State | 493 | 491 | 496 | 495 | 989 | 986 | | |
| Nation | 507 | 508 | 519 | 518 | 1026 | 1026 | | |
| ACT | F | !! | | -41- | D | !! | | |

| ACT | En | glish | M | ath | | iding | | ence | To | otal |
|----------|------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 |
| District | 14.6 | 16.1 | 16.3 | 16.8 | 15.3 | 17.1 | 16.6 | 17.2 | 15.8 | 16.9 |
| State | 18.7 | 18.8 | 19.0 | 19.1 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.2 | 19.3 | 19.2 | 19.3 |
| Nation | 20.3 | 20.4 | 20.6 | 20.7 | 21.2 | 21.3 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 20.8 | 20.9 |

| Marion Two School District | 3402999 |
|----------------------------|---------|
|----------------------------|---------|

| DISTRICT PROFILE | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Our District | Change from Last Year | Districts with Students Like Ours | Median District |
| Students (n= 2,078) | | | | |
| First graders who attended full-day kindergarten | 100.0% | N/C | 100.0% | 97.2% |
| Retention rate | 8.8% | Up from 0.4% | 6.4% | 5.3% |
| Attendance rate | 96.0% | Up from 95.7% | 96.2% | 96.2% |
| Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level | 10.4% | | 9.0% | 5.8% |
| Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level | 8.1% | | 7.5% | 5.1% |
| Eligible for gifted and talented | 5.1% | No change | 6.4% | 11.6% |
| On academic plans | N/AV | N/AV | N/A | N/AV |
| On academic probation | N/AV | N/AV | N/A | N/AV |
| With disabilities other than speech | 15.3% | Down from 15.7% | 10.5% | 10.9% |
| Older than usual for grade | 11.6% | Up from 11.0% | 7.0% | 5.0% |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses | 2.9% | Up from 2.3% | 1.9% | 1.1% |
| Enrolled in AP/IB programs | 8.2% | No change | 6.3% | 9.9% |
| Successful on AP/IB exams | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs | 63 | Down from 187 | 160 | 157 |
| Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs | 4 | Down from 27 | 16 | 39 |
| Annual dropout rate | 2.2% | Down from 2.3% | 2.6% | 2.9% |
| Teachers (n= 136) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 33.1% | No change | 44.5% | 50.0% |
| Continuing contract teachers | 81.6% | Down from 87.8% | 79.0% | 84.6% |
| Highly qualified teachers** | 96.8% | N/A | 90.8% | 92.5% |
| Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates | 4.9% | IN/A | 11.3% | 4.4% |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 89.1% | Up from 86.3% | 86.7% | 89.9% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 94.1% | Down from 95.3% | 94.1% | 94.7% |
| | \$37.273 | Up 1.4% | \$38.423 | \$40.566 |
| Average teacher salary Vacancies for more than nine weeks | 0.7% | Up 1.4% N/C | \$30,423 1.0% | 0.3% |
| | | | | |
| Prof. development days/teacher District | 12.1 days | Up from 11.2 days | 11.9 days | 12.0 days |
| | | Un from 5.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| Superintendent's years at district | 6.0 19.9 to 1 | Up from 5.0 Down from 22.4 to | 3.5 1 19.5 to 1 | 3.0 21.0 to 1 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | | | | |
| Prime instructional time | 88.2% | Down from 89.8% | 88.2% | 89.5% |
| Dollars spent per pupil* | \$7,002 | Down 7.4% | \$8,610 | \$7,217 |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* | 53.8% | Up from 52.9% | 53.6% | 55.6% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Good | No change | Excellent | Excellent |
| Parents attending conferences | 83.6% | Down from 88.2% | 93.9% | 97.3% |
| Number of schools | 5 | No change | 6 | 8 |
| Number of magnet schools | 0 | No change | 0 | 0 |
| Number of charter schools | 0 | No change | 0 | 0 |
| Number of alternative schools | 0 | No change | 0 | 0 |
| Portable classrooms | 0.6% | No change | 5.9% | 4.3% |
| Average age in years of school facilities Number of schools with SACS accreditation | 33 5 | No change No change | 30 5 | 26 8 |
| Average administrator salary | \$60,586 | - J | \$65,649 | \$67,300 |

* Prior year audited financial data are reported.

| | Our District | State |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools** | N/A | 92.0% |
| Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools** | 100.0% | 91.1% |
| | State Objective | Met State Objective |
| Highly qualified teachers** | 65.0% | Yes |
| Student attendance rate | 95.3% | Yes |

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNANCE

Board Membership 7 trustees elected to at-large seats

Fiscal Authority District Board/County Board/Referendum

Average Number of Hours of Training Annually 16.0 per board member

Percent new trustees completing orientation N/A

DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

Across the nation, schools and teachers face the challenge of delivering high-quality instruction leading to increased learning and higher achievement for today's students in order to meet the requirements of the No Child Left Behind legislation and to prepare them for the Information Age's global market place. In Marion School District Two, the challenge of equipping our 150 teachers in our five schools with more than just the essentials necessary for meeting these requirements continues to be one of the goals of the Mullins School District Board of Trustees. Although 40 percent of the families live below the poverty level and over 80 percent of our students qualify for free or reduced-price lunch and reside in a county that ranks near the top in the state in unemployment, our students continue to excel.

The successes of our students are attributed to the Mullins Community pulling together to promote a safe, positive, enriched learning environment by providing our educators with encouragement as well as financial support. Partnerships with businesses and industry that endorse our schoolwide Character Education program and provide tutorial assistance at several of our schools in the district are a few of the endeavors of the community that have added greatly to these accomplishments.

Annually, the Board of Trustees reviews the needs of the district and considers input from various community advisory councils as they endorse the district's update of the strategic and school renewal plans that focus on providing the scope and sequence of the initiatives of the district in the areas of academics, athletics and the fine arts. The Board's mission is to provide through these plans the basis for a well-rounded, quality education for all of the students of the school district and to provide the necessary resources in order to carry out the plans.

The Board's performance goal for student achievement of Marion School District Two is to be ranked in the top half of the state by the year 2010. With the continued support of the community, the dedication of our teachers and support staff and the hard work of our students, I feel we are on the right track to meet this goal!

R. Jerry Leviner, Ph.D., Superintendent